IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Dairiki et al.

Serial No. 10/523,106 Filed: February 3, 2005

Confirmation No. 7579 Attny. Docket No. 46242 Group Art Unit: 1609 Examiner: Sullivan, D.

For: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Response in the above-captioned application. The fee has been calculated as shown below. (Small entity fees indicated in parentheses.)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee		
Total Claims	5	-	20	0	52.00	\$0		
(Small Entity)					(26.00)			
Independent claims	1		3	0	220.00	\$0		
(Small Entity)					(110.00)			
Multiple Dependent	0	-	0	0	390.00	\$0		
(Small Entity)					(195.00)	·		
Extension of Time	One Month		Two Months	Three Months	Four Months			
Fee	\$130		\$490	\$1,110	\$1,730	\$0		
(Small Entity)	(\$65)		(\$245)	(\$555)	(\$865)			
IDS						\$0		
Total						\$0		

The above fees are believed to be correct. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0687 under the above Attorney Docket Number for which purpose this paper is submitted in duplicate. CUSTOMER NO. 20736

Respectfully submitted,

December 10, 2008 Date:

Paul E. White, Jr.

Reg. No. 32,011 Tel. No. 202-261-1050 Fax No. 202-887-0336

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036-3307 202.261.1000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Dairiki et al.

Serial No. 10/523,106 Filed: February 3, 2005 DEC 1 0 2008

Confirmation No. 7579 Attny. Docket No. 46242 Group Art Unit: 1609 Examiner: Sullivan, D.

For: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Response in the above-captioned application. The fee has been calculated as shown below. (Small entity fees indicated in parentheses.)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee			
Total Claims	5	-	20	0	52.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(26.00)				
Independent claims	1	-	3	0	220.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(110.00)				
Multiple Dependent	0	-	0	0	390.00	\$0			
(Small Entity)					(195.00)				
Extension of Time	One Month		Two Months	Three Months	Four Months				
Fee	\$130		\$490	\$1,110	\$1,730	\$0			
(Small Entity)	(\$65)		(\$245)	(\$555)	(\$865)				
IDS						\$0			
Total						\$0			

The above fees are believed to be correct. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0687 under the above Attorney Docket Number for which purpose this paper is submitted in duplicate. CUSTOMER NO. 20736

Respectfully submitted.

Date: **December 10, 2008**

Paul E. White, Jr.

Reg. No. 32,011 Tel. No. 202-261-1050 Fax No. 202-887-0336

Manelli Denison & Selter, PLLC 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036-3307 202.261.1000



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of DAIRIKI et al.

Confirmation No. 7579 Atty Docket. No. 46242

Application No. 10/523,106

Group Art Unit: 1616

Application Filed: February 3, 2005

Examiner: Danielle Sullivan

Title: GRANULATED PESTICIDAL COMPOSITION

December 10, 2008

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated September 11, 2008, please consider the applicant's following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-5 are pending in this application.

The applicant notes that the Second Preliminary Submission (with technical reference) filed September 10, 2008 in this application, was not entered. Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests that the aforementioned Second Preliminary Submission be entered and considered together with the present response.

The applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 35 USC 103(a) over Suwa et al. in view of Nakayama et al. and Stuart. The cited references taken alone or in combination do not make the presently claimed invention to be obvious.

The Office Action states the following at page 4, second full paragraph:

"It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Suwa et al. and Stuart to